

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Parent and Irrademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. BON 450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspir.gov

				\cup		
APPLICATION NO.	FILI	NG DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/975,423	10	/11/2001	William B. Noble	1328.014	9084	
33164	7590	07/25/2006		EXAMINER		
RAYTHEC				AVELLINO	, JOSEPH E	
C/O DALY, 354A TURN		/, MOFFORD & D EET	OURKEE, LLP	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
SUITE 301A	.			2143		
CANTON, 1	MA 02021			DATE MAIL ED: 07/25/2006		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Advisory Action	09/975,423	NOBLE ET AL.	
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Joseph E. Avellino	2143	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe			lrage.
THE REPLY FILED 19 June 2006 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APP		•	7ess
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on			andonment of
this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance time periods: a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A	wing replies: (1) an amendment, af otice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in the with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply m g date of the final rejection.	fidavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C ust be filed within one	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3) of the following
no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7	ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailin (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN TH	g date of the final rejecti	on.
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ex under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply oric r than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The appropri inally set in the final Offi	iate extension fee ce action; or (2) as
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exte a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed AMENDMENTS 	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to within the time period set forth in	o avoid dismissal of th 37 CFR 41.37(a).	e appeal. Since
 The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, (a) They raise new issues that would require further co (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below) (c) They are not deemed to place the application in be appeal; and/or (d) They present additional claims without canceling a 	nsideration and/or search (see NC ow); tter form for appeal by materially re	TE below);	
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)). 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1			(PTOL -324)
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)		omphant Amendment	(FTOL-324).
Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a non-allowable claim(s).	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	timely filed amendme	ent canceling the
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:		ill be entered and an e	explanation of
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, be because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 	d sufficient reasons why the affida	vit or other evidence is	s necessary and
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar 	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe y and was not earlier presented. S	al and/or appellant fa See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(ils to provide a 1).
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanatio REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the claims after ϵ	entry is below or attach	ned.
The request for reconsideration has been considered by arguments presented are not persuasive (see continuate).		n condition for allowa	nce because:
12. ☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).13. ☐ Other:	(PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper i	No(s)	
	SU	PERVISORY PATENT E TECHNOLOGY CENTER	EXAMINER R 2100

Applicant's Arguments have been fully considered but are not persuasive.

In the remarks, Applicant argues, in substance, that (1) Huang does not disclose initiating a data push from either the first or second sharing partners, and (2) Huang does not disclose altering a shared file.

As to point (1) Applicant can appreciate that Huang discloses the user initiating the update synchronization procedures at col. 12, lines 1-37. This update synchronization procedure clearly demonstrates that Huang pushes the data to each sharing partner. By this rationale, the rejection is maintained.

As to point (2) Applicant can appreciate that in order for a file to be created, a null file must be altered in order to insert data into the file. By this rationale, the rejection is maintained.

